

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,787	10/06/2003	Kejun Zeng	TI-36531	3730
23494	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>D.</i> 122/10, 1			1725	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^	. /						
(	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/679,787	ZENG, KEJUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan Johnson	1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	I.  rely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 2005.						
,	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 12-35 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-35</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
	•						
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this inational Stage					
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

**DETAILED ACTION** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12, 14-20, 22-28, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,180,265 (Erickson) in view of US 6,445,069 (Ling). Erickson teaches a aluminum connection site having a top surface substantially free of solder (figure 3, item 12); a nickel layer on the aluminum connection site contacting the top surface (figure 3, item 24); a copper layer atop and in contact with the nickel layer (figure 3, item 22) and a solder ball contacting the copper layer forming a bond (figure 3, item 26). Ling teaches the interchangeability of pad material between aluminum and copper prior to plating with nickel (col. 4, 11. 35-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pad material to utilize copper in order to provide better thermal conductivity (see Ling col. 1, ll. 5-15). With respect to the claimed nickel and copper layer thickness, it is the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the thickness of the nickel and copper layers to the claimed range in order to provide a suitable nickel passivation layer (see Erickson col. 3, ll. 10-67) and to provide a suitable copper wetting layer for the solder (see Erickson col. 4, 11. 10-25). To put it another way, Erickson teaches using copper and nickel to perform a particular task. It

Art Unit: 1725

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to choose the instantly claimed ranges through process optimization, since it has been held that there the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See <u>In re Boesch</u>, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 13, 21, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,180,265 (Erickson) and US 6,445,069 (Ling) as applied to claims 12, 20, and 28 above, and further in view of US 6,452,270 (Huang). Huang teaches the use of a pb/sn solder (coll. 4, Il. 10-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined invention of Erickson and Ling to utilize the pb/sn solder in order to effectively form a bond to the semiconductor device (see Huang col. 4, Il. 1-20). It is the examiner's position that since the prior art uses substantially the same metal layers coupled with substantially the same solder (i.e., tin based solder), the particular CuSn will be necessarily present. When the examiner has reason to believe that functional language asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in claimed subject matter may, in fact may be a characteristic of the prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown in the prior art does not possess the characteristics relied upon. *In re Fitzgerald et al.* 205 USPQ 594.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1725

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725